



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087408479	06/02/95	BELGARD	R RAB-95-001

PETER COURTURE  
LAW+ 993 HIGHLAND CIRCLE  
LOS ALTOS CA 94024

E3M1/0311

EXAMINER
NGUYEN, T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2309	6

DATE MAILED: 03/11/97

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

*< See Attached Interview Summary >*

## Interview Summary

Application No.

08/458,479

Applicant(s)

Belgard, Richard

Examiner

Than Nguyen

Group Art Unit

2309



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Than Nguyen(3) Nick Gross(2) Mr. Richard Belgard

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 6, 1997Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Crawford & Hinton

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Belgard gave an overview of the invention, points out key elements which distinguishes the invention from that of Crawford and Hinton. The key element mentioned is that the prior arts do not include physical page address information in the segment descriptor. The Examiner will review the Crawford and Hinton patents to determine if they lack the claimed limitation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

DAVID E. MOORE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 260

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.